





		:				
	PRP	IN T	en	aon		
Reference	Level of evidence	Tendon	Patients (n)	Follow-up	Outcome	Complications
Peerbooms at av <sup>21</sup>	Prospective randomised study (level I)	Elbow extensor or flexor tendon	100	52 weeks	DASH score improved in both groups, but sign. much more in the platelet-rich plasma group	No
De Vos et al <sup>02</sup>	Prospective randomised study (level I)	Achilles tendon	54	24 weeks	Mean VISA-A score improved in both groups; however, no significant group differences	No
Randelli et al <sup>13</sup>	Prospective randomised study (level I)	Rotator cuff tendon	55	104 weeks	Significantly better external rotation strength, and higher SST, UCLA, constant scores 3 months after surgery, but no group differences after 2 years (only for subgroups)	No
Castricini et al <sup>64</sup>	Prospective randomised study (level I)	Rotator cuff tendon	88	65 weeks	No significant difference in total Constant Score or in MRI tendon score PFRM	No
Mishra & Pavelko <sup>20</sup>	Prospective cohort study (level II)	Elbow extensor or flexor tendon	20	25.6 months (12-38 months)	Reduction in visual analogue pain score (93% of treated patients)	No
Filardo et a/ <sup>ca</sup>	Prospective cohort study (level III)	Patellar tendon	31	6 months	Significant improvements in Tegner score, EQ-5D VAS score and pain level	No
Gewedal et av <sup>66</sup>	Case-control study (level III)	Achilles tendon	14	18 months	A0FAS scale improved from 55 to 96 points VISA-A scale improved from 24 to 96 points	No
Sánchez et al <sup>67</sup>	Case-control study (level III)	Achilles tendon	12	32-50 months	Earlier regain of RO, and less time to start running and training	In the control group (wounds)
Kon et al <sup>en</sup>	Cohort study (level IV)	Patellar tendon	20	6 months	Improvements in Tegner, EQ-5D VAS and Short Form (36) Health Survey scores	No



Schepull et al Am J Sports Med 2011

### PRP in tendon chronic lesion

- RCT n=54 patients
- Two groups: eccentric training protocol
- PRP injection vs saline in the control group
- FU 1 year
  - Ultrasound examination at baseline and FU Victorian Institute of Sports Achilles-assessment score

This randomized controlled trial showed no clinical and ultrasonographic superiority o platelet-rich plasma injection over a placebo injection in chronic Achilles tendinopathy at 1 year combined with an eccentric training program.

De Jonge et al Am J Sports Med 2011



Kon et al *Injury* 2009 Filardo et al *Int Orthopaedic* 2010

# PRP in tendon chronic lesion

int Surg Am. 2012 Jan 11. Efficacy of Au Center for Evidence-Based Hamilton, ON LBL 8E7, Can vision of Orthogoedic Surgery, McMaster University, 293 Wellington Street North, Suite 110, ss for U. Sheth: usheth@amed.co. E-mail address for M. Bhandari: bhandar@mcmaster.co. dics, D

- Meta-analysis
- 23 randomized trials and 10 cohort studies Acromion, rotator cuff, ACL, lateral epicondyle, Achilles & patellar tendons, tibial bone and spine
- Lack of consistency in outcomes report
- Most studies: VAS scores

The current literature is complicated by a lack of standardization of study protocols, platelet-separation techniques, and outcome measures. As a result, there is uncertainty about the evidence to support the increasing clinical use of platelet-rich plasma and autologues blood concentrates as a treatment modality for orthopaedic bone and soft-tissue injuries. nty

#### Summary in chronic lesion

- PRP possibly has a positive effect in RC
- Tremendous variability in the biology of RC lesion
- Chronic Achilles tendinopathy data is increasing
- PRP may be effective not demonstrated yet
- *Chronic PT tendinopathy* PRP can have an effect in more severe cases failed regular ttr
- Elbow May be effective in patients who failed regular ttr



## Limitations

- Further characterization of biologic mechanisms of PRP
- · Ideal concentration of platelets?
- Timing of injection
- Serial injection ?
- · Effect of local tissue pH on PRP activity
- Overexposure PRP limit differentiation of
- cells into the appropriate cell lines Marx J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2004 From IOC consensus paper BJSM 2010

## PRP contra-indications

#### <u>Absolute:</u>

- Platelet dysfonction syndrome
- Hypofibrinogenemia
- Septicemia
- Sensitivity to bovine thrombin (if using with calcium to make platelet gel, this may lead to intravascular coagulopathy due to antibodies interactions) Everts et al Proceeding of 21st Mech of Perfusion Congress Orlando 2006



Everts et al Proceeding of 21st Mechanism of Perfusion Congress Orlando 2006

BJSM January 2012





Michael Ryan,<sup>1</sup> Anthony Wong,<sup>2</sup> David Rabago,<sup>3</sup> Kenneth Lee,<sup>4</sup> Jack Taunton<sup>5</sup>

Conclusion There was a reduction in pain and an improvement in ultrasound appearance following ultrasound-guided dextrose injections for refractory patient tendinopathy. An improved hypoechoic appearance of the tendon was associated with directeased pain scores, suggesting that dextrose injections may modify patiellar tendinopathy at the tissue level and that fbrain changes may play a role in tendon nociception.

# **—** Take home message

- PRP might be effective in several applications
- Standardisation of the preparation
- More data in basic science
- Currently, RCT show no effect or non-reproducible effect
- More robust RCT
- Recommend to proceed with caution in the use of PRP in athletic sporting injuries

